



# **Investigation of Adaptive Base Isolation System Utilising Magnetorheological Elastomer**

**By: Xiaoyu Gu**

*A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for  
the degree of Doctor of Philosophy*

University of Technology, Sydney

Faculty of Engineering & IT

April 2017

*To my dearest parents*

## CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Signature of Candidate

Date

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am truly fortunate to have spent four years at the Centre for Built Infrastructure Research (CBIR) at University of Technology, Sydney (UTS) that provided me the great opportunity to accomplish my graduate studies in a friendly but highly competitive environment. I would first like to thank my PhD supervisors, Prof. Jianchun Li and Dr Yancheng Li who guided, mentored and encouraged me throughout my whole PhD study with their knowledge, jovial disposition and patience.

I would like to acknowledge the members of CBIR Dynamics and Control Group, in particular, Richard Turnell for his generous help during my experimental tests and valuable guidelines about student life in UTS and Australia. Also, I cannot thank enough Dr Yang Yu for his time spent with me for strengthening fundamental concepts on numerical modelling.

I am also indebted to my true friends who gave me so many helps in different ways during my study at UTS. My special thanks go to Jing Wu, Lian Zhang, Ruoshi Xu, Dr Mehrisadat Makki-Alamdari, Dr Mohsen Askari, Dr Saad Mahbub Subhani. It is my great pleasure to meet you and have you in my life.

Last, but not the least, I want to thank my family for their support through my entire life. Your raised me up to the person I am now and your support helps me overcome all the difficulties during my study. In addition, my special appreciation goes to my boyfriend, Hao Jiang, without whose motivation and encouragement, completion of this degree would be impossible.

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS RELATED TO THIS THESIS

### Refereed Journal Articles

1. **Gu, X.**, Li, Y. & Li, J., 2016. Investigations on response time of magnetorheological elastomer isolator for real-time control implementation. *Smart Materials and Structures*. 25(11), p.11LT04.
2. **Gu, X.**, Li, J., Li, Y. & Askari, M., 2016. Frequency control of smart base isolation system employing a novel adaptive magneto-rheological elastomer base isolator. *Journal of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures*. 27(7), pp.849-858.
3. **Gu, X.**, Yu, Y., Li, J., Li, Y., & Alamdari, M. M. 2016. Semi-active storey isolation system employing MRE isolator with parameter identification based on NSGA-II with DCD. *Earthquakes and Structures*, 11(6), 1101-1121.
4. **Gu, X.**, Yu, Y., Li, J. & Li, Y. 2017. Utilising optimal general regression neural network (GRNN) inverse model for modelling and control of magnetorheological elastomer base isolation system. *Journal of Sound & Vibration*. (Accepted)
5. Yu, Y., Li, Y., Li, J. & **Gu, X.**, 2016. Self-adaptive step fruit fly algorithm optimized support vector regression model for dynamic response prediction of magnetorheological elastomer base isolator. *Neurocomputing*. 211, pp.41-52.
6. Yu, Y., Li, Y., Li, J. & **Gu, X.**, 2016. A Hysteresis model for dynamic behaviour of magnetorheological elastomer base isolator. *Smart Materials and Structures*. 25(5), p.055029.
7. Yu, Y., Li, Y., Li, J., **Gu, X.** & Royel, S., 2016. Dynamic modelling of magnetorheological elastomer base isolator based on extreme learning machine. *Mechanics of Structures and Materials: Advancements and Challenges*. pp. 703-

708. CRC Press.

8. Askari, M., Li, J., Samali, B. & **Gu, X.**, 2016. Experimental forward and inverse modelling of magnetorheological dampers using an optimal Takagi–Sugeno–Kang fuzzy scheme. *Journal of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures*. 27(7), pp.904-914.

#### Conference Paper

1. **Gu, X.**, Li, J. & Li, Y. 2014. Adaptive base isolation system with magnetorheological elastomer base isolators: numerical investigations. *Proceeding of the sixth World Conference on Structural Control and Monitoring (6WCSCM)*, Barcelona, Spain.
2. **Gu, X.**, Li, J., Askari, M. & Li, Y. 2014. Semi-active control of an innovative adaptive base isolation system. *14<sup>th</sup> International Conference on ER Fluids and MR Suspensions (ERMR2014)*. Spain.
3. **Gu, X.**, Li, J. & Li, Y., 2014. Innovative semi-active storey isolation system utilising novel magneto-rheological elastomer base isolators. *Proceeding of 23rd Australasian Conference on the Mechanics of Structures and Materials (ACMSM23)*. Byron Bay, Australia

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY .....</b>	<b>II</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS RELATED TO THIS THESIS.....</b>	<b>IV</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS .....</b>	<b>VI</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES .....</b>	<b>XI</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES .....</b>	<b>XVIII</b>
<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>XX</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background And Motivation Of This Research .....	1
1.2 Objective Of The Present Research .....	8
1.3 Organisation Of This Thesis .....	9
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	<b>12</b>
2.1 Preface.....	12
2.2 State-Of-Art Of The Conventional Base Isolation.....	14
2.2.1 Working principle of base isolation .....	14
2.2.2 History and Development of Base Isolation .....	14
2.2.3 Contemporary Base Isolation Devices .....	17
2.2.4 Categorisation of conventional base isolation techniques.....	28
2.2.5 Issues Related to Conventional Base Isolation.....	28
2.3 Ideas Of “Smart” Isolation System .....	29

2.4	Present “Smart” Base Isolation .....	30
2.4.1	Active Base Isolation System .....	31
2.4.2	Semi-active Base Isolation System .....	32
2.4.3	Issues Related to Present Hybrid Base Isolation Systems .....	36
2.5	MRE Vibration Isolation .....	37
2.5.1	Brief Description of MRE Material .....	38
2.5.2	MRE vibration isolator in mechanical engineering .....	40
2.5.3	MRE base isolator in civil engineering .....	43
2.5.4	Control Application of MRE Isolators .....	50
2.6	Research Gaps And Challenges .....	55

## CHAPTER 3 MRE BASE ISOLATION AND HYSTERESIS

### MODELLING ..... 58

3.1	Chapter Outline .....	58
3.2	Introduction and Background .....	59
3.2.1	MRE base isolator .....	59
3.3	Forward Model of MRE Base Isolator .....	61
3.3.1	Generalised Bouc-Wen Model .....	61
3.3.2	Strain-Stiffening Model .....	74
3.3.3	Comparison of Bouc-Wen Model and Strain-Stiffening Model .....	91
3.4	Inverse Model of MRE Base Isolator .....	92
3.4.1	Introduction .....	92
3.4.2	Experimental Setup and Training Data .....	94
3.4.3	Inverse Modelling of MRE Base Isolator .....	96
3.4.4	MRE Base Isolator Inverse Model Based on FOA-Optimised GRNN .....	100
3.5	Summary .....	103

## CHAPTER 4 INVESTIGATION OF RESPONSE TIME OF MRE

### ISOLATOR FOR REAL-TIME CONTROL ..... 105

4.1	Chapter Outline .....	105
4.2	Background .....	106



4.3	Response Time Definition .....	108
4.4	Response Time Calibration Of MRE Base Isolator .....	110
4.4.1	Input Excitations .....	110
4.4.2	Experimental Setup .....	111
4.4.3	Measured Response Time .....	112
4.5	Approaches To Minimise Response Time .....	113
4.5.1	Optimal Controlled PWM Servo Current Source .....	113
4.5.2	Modification to The Solenoid Circuit .....	120
4.5.3	Field-Quenching Coil Configuration .....	122
4.6	Response Time Under Different Configurations .....	125
4.6.1	On Current and Force Responses .....	125
4.6.2	Performance evaluation for real-time control implementation .....	127
4.7	Summary .....	131

## CHAPTER 5 SEMI-ACTIVE CONTROL OF MRE BASE

### ISOLATION SYSTEM ..... 133

5.1	Chapter Outline .....	133
5.2	Design and Identification Of The MRE Base Isolation System .....	134
5.2.1	Three-storey Building Model Design .....	134
5.2.2	System Identification .....	137
5.3	Experimental Setup And System Description .....	146
5.4	Control Algorithms .....	150
5.4.1	LQR Control with GRNN Inverse Model .....	151
5.4.2	GA Optimised Fuzzy Logic Control .....	154
5.4.3	Lyapunov-Based Control .....	163
5.4.4	Frequency Control .....	171
5.5	Comparative Investigation Results And Discussion .....	175
5.5.1	Earthquake Records .....	176
5.5.2	Evaluative Indices .....	179
5.5.3	Comparison between Numerical and Experimental Results .....	181
5.5.4	Peak Responses .....	186
5.5.5	Evaluative indices comparison .....	201

5.5.6	Time Histories.....	209
5.5.7	Control Force and Current Comparisons .....	224
5.5.8	Comparative Evaluation between Different Control Methods .....	233
5.6	Summary .....	234
 <b>CHAPTER 6 INNOVATIVE STOREY ISOLATION UTILISING</b>		
<b>SMART MRE ISOLATION SYSTEM ..... 236</b>		
6.1	Chapter Outline .....	236
6.2	Background And Introduction .....	237
6.3	System Description .....	240
6.4	Optimal Current Selection Of The MRE Isolator .....	243
6.4.1	Five-Storey Benchmark Building Model .....	243
6.4.2	Optimisation Problem Statement .....	244
6.4.3	Non-Dominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm-II (NSGA-II) with DCD .....	245
6.4.4	Parameter Identification Based on NSGA-II with DCD .....	247
6.5	Control Method.....	250
6.6	Numerical Investigation.....	251
6.7	Summary .....	268
 <b>CHAPTER 7 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH..... 269</b>		
7.1	MRE Base Isolator Modelling .....	269
7.2	Response Time Of MRE Base Isolator Investigation .....	271
7.3	Control Algorithm For MRE Base Isolation System .....	271
7.4	Experimental Realisation Of MRE Base Isolation System .....	272
7.5	Storey MRE Isolation System.....	274
7.6	Suggestions For Future Work .....	275
7.6.1	Optimisation of Coil Configuration for Further Response Time Reduction .....	275
7.6.2	Further Development of Control Algorithm .....	276
7.6.3	Optimisation of MRE Isolator Placement in Storey Isolation System .....	277

7.6.4 Experimental Investigation of the MRE Base Isolation System on Full-Scaled Civil Infrastructures .....	277
<b>REFERENCE.....</b>	<b>279</b>
<b>APPENDIX.....</b>	<b>293</b>
Appendix A Peak Responses Under Four Earthquakes .....	293
Appendix B Evaluative Indices .....	296
Appendix C Selected Time History Responses .....	300
Appendix D Control Force And Corresponding Current.....	306

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Laminated rubber bearing: (a) composite and sectional details; (b) schematic diagram .....	17
Figure 2.2 Lead core rubber bearing (New Zealand rubber bearing): (a) composite and sectional details; (b) schematic diagram .....	20
Figure 2.3 Pure friction (PF) bearing: (a) composite and sectional details; (b) schematic diagram .....	21
Figure 2.4 EDF base isolation system: (a) composite and sectional details; (b) schematic diagram .....	22
Figure 2.5 Resilient friction base isolation (R-FBI) system: (a) composite and sectional details (Mostaghel 1987); (b) schematic diagram.....	23
Figure 2.6 Sliding resilient friction base isolation (S-RF) system: (a) composite and sectional details; (b) schematic diagram .....	24
Figure 2.7 Friction pendulum base isolator: (a) external view; (b) composite and sectional details; (c) schematic diagram .....	25
Figure 2.8 Categorisation of conventional base isolation techniques.....	27
Figure 2.9 Schematic diagram of (a) hybrid isolation system combining passive base isolation with supplementary dampers; (b) “smart” base isolation system with controllable isolators.....	30
Figure 2.10 Schematics of variable-orifice damper .....	34
Figure 2.11 Schematics of a controllable MRF damper .....	35
Figure 2.12 Shear stress-strain curves under different magnetic field (Li, Li & Samali 2012) .....	40
Figure 2.13 Design of the MRE vibration isolation mount (Kavlicoglu et al. 2011) ..	41
Figure 2.14 Sketch of the MRE-based vibration isolator (Liao et al. 2012).....	42
Figure 2.15 (a) Cross-section view of MRE isolator; (b) Vibration model of the MRE seat suspension system with human body.....	42
Figure 2.16 Structure model coupled with an MR elastomer-based base-isolation system (Jung et al. 2011) .....	43
Figure 2.17 Cross-section view and photo of variable stiffness and damping isolator (Behrooz, Wang & Gordaninejad 2014b).....	44
Figure 2.18(a) Phenomenological Bouc-Wen model of VSDI; (b) On-state and off-state shear force deformation characteristics of VSDIs (Behrooz, Wang & Gordaninejad 2014a) .....	45

Figure 2.19 Photo and cross-section view of the laminated MRE base isolator (Li, Li, Li, et al. 2013).....	47
Figure 2.20 Photo and cross-section view of the highly adjustable laminated MRE base isolator.....	47
Figure 2.21(a) Force-displacement relationships of the MRE base isolator at quasi-static testing ( $f=0.1\text{Hz}$ , $\Delta=8\text{mm}$ ); (b) force-displacement loops at different amplitudes (2mm, 4mm and 8mm) excitation at 0.1 Hz and 3A (Li, Li, Tian, et al. 2013).....	47
Figure 2.22 Laminated negative stiffness MRE isolator (Yang et al. 2014) .....	49
Figure 2.23 Different working modes of hybrid magnetic system (Yang et al. 2014) .....	50
Figure 2.24 Multiple short-type floating slab track magneto-rheological system model (Li et al. 2016).....	54
Figure 3.1 Experimental setup for training data acquisition and power equipment (Li, Li, Tian, et al. 2013).....	59
Figure 3.2 MRE isolator's stiffness and damping dynamics with different current input .....	60
Figure 3.3 Schematic diagram of the proposed Bouc-Wen model for MRE isolator..	62
Figure 3.4 Comparison between experimental data and forecast values from the proposed model with different excitation amplitudes (1Hz-3A) .....	63
Figure 3.5. Comparison between experimental data and forecast values from the proposed model with different applied currents (1Hz-4mm) .....	64
Figure 3.6 Comparison between experimental data and forecast values from the proposed model with different excitation frequencies (4mm-1A).....	64
Figure 3.7 Parameter identification results: (a) $k_0$ vs current; (b) $c_0$ vs current; (c) $\alpha$ vs current; (d) $A$ vs current; (e) $\beta$ vs current; (a) $\gamma$ vs current Based on the observations in Table 3.1 and Figure 3.4 to Figure 3.6, it can be assumed that the values of parameters are more affected by applied current rather than the excitation frequency and amplitude. Hence, an average of parameter values under different excitation scenarios when applied current is 0A, 1A, 2A and 3A, respectively, is taken as the parameter at the corresponding current level. Next, a curve fitting is conducted to explore the definitive correlation between the parameter of interest with applied current. Figure 3.7 shows the fitting curve of all six parameters, among which $k_0$ , $c_0$ , $A$ , $\beta$ , and $\gamma$ have a linear relation with current while $\alpha$ and current have a quadratic relation. The fitted functions of parameters are expressed by Eq 3.2.....	67
Figure 3.8 $k_0$ dependent responses of the generalised Bouc-Wen model: (a) force-displacement loops; (b) force-velocity loops.....	69
Figure 3.9 $c_0$ dependent responses of the generalised Bouc-Wen model: (a) force-displacement loops; (b) force-velocity loops.....	69

Figure 3.10 $\alpha$ dependent responses of the generalised Bouc-Wen model: (a) force-displacement loops; (b) force-velocity loops .....	70
Figure 3.11 $A$ dependent responses of the generalised Bouc-Wen model: (a) force-displacement loops; (b) force-velocity loops .....	71
Figure 3.12 $\beta$ and $\gamma$ dependent responses of the generalised Bouc-Wen model: (a) force-displacement loops of changing $\beta$ ; (b) force-velocity loops of changing $\gamma$ .....	71
Figure 3.13 Comparison between experimental force and predicted force by Bouc-Wen model with random displacement input .....	72
Figure 3.14 Comparison between experimental force and predicted force by Bouc-Wen model with El Centro earthquake displacement input .....	73
Figure 3.15 Break-down of the hysteresis of MRE base isolator .....	75
Figure 3.16 Schematic diagram of the proposed strain-stiffening model for MRE isolator.....	75
Figure 3.17 Comparison between experimental data and forecast values from the proposed model with different excitation amplitudes (1Hz-3A) .....	77
Figure 3.18 Comparison between experimental data and forecast values from the proposed model with different applied current (2Hz-4mm) .....	78
Figure 3.19 Comparison between experimental data and forecast values from the proposed model with different applied current (1A-4mm).....	79
Figure 3.20 $k_0$ dependent responses of the generalised strain-stiffening model: (a) force-displacement loops; (b) force-velocity loops .....	80
Figure 3.21 $c_0$ dependent responses of the generalised strain-stiffening model: (a) force-displacement loops; (b) force-velocity loops .....	80
Figure 3.22 $\alpha$ dependent responses of the generalised strain-stiffening model: (a) force-displacement loops; (b) force-velocity loops .....	83
Figure 3.23 $F_0$ dependent responses of the generalised strain-stiffening model: (a) force-displacement loops; (b) force-velocity loops .....	83
Figure 3.24 Correlations between parameter values and applied current with different excitation frequencies .....	84
Figure 3.25 Correlations between parameter values and excitation frequency with different applied current.....	85
Figure 3.26 Correlations between parameter values and applied current with different excitation amplitudes .....	86
Figure 3.27 Relationships between model parameters and applied current as well as absolute maximal displacement .....	87
Figure 3.28 Comparison between experimental force and predicted force by strain-stiffening model with random displacement input ( $I = 0A$ ) .....	89

Figure 3.29 Comparison between experimental force and predicted force by strain-stiffening model with random displacement input ( $I = 3A$ ) .....	90
Figure 3.30 Experimental setup for MRE inverse model identification .....	95
Figure 3.31 Training data for GRNN inverse model .....	96
Figure 3.32 Schematic diagram of inverse model based on GRNN .....	101
Figure 3.33 Performance of the GRNN inverse model (a) comparison between measured current and GRNN output; (b) regression analysis of results.....	103
Figure 4.1 Circuit diagram of the solenoid with current and voltage sources .....	108
Figure 4.2 Definition of response time at rise edge and fall edge .....	110
Figure 4.3 Illustration of input displacement and current excitations .....	111
Figure 4.4 Experimental setup of current and force response testing.....	112
Figure 4.5 Original current and force response of MRE isolator .....	113
Figure 4.6 Schematics of a typical servo system .....	114
Figure 4.7 Definition of duty cycle.....	114
Figure 4.8 PWM signal governed current source: (a) schematic diagram; (b) transfer function block diagram .....	116
Figure 4.9 Working principle of a PWM servo current drive responding under a step command.....	118
Figure 4.10 Circuit description of isolated IGBT drive driven by PWM signal.....	119
Figure 4.11 Circuit description of power supplies used in IGBT switch system .....	119
Figure 4.12 Schematic diagram of MRE isolator with multi coils .....	122
Figure 4.13 Circuit description of split coil system .....	124
Figure 4.14 Circuit diagram of split coil system.....	124
Figure 4.15 Current response curves under different coil configurations .....	125
Figure 4.16 Current and force response time under different displacements .....	126
Figure 4.17 Final current and force responses with field-quenching coil configuration .....	127
Figure 4.18 Response time comparison under El-centro earthquake .....	129
Figure 4.19 Response time comparison under Kobe earthquake.....	129
Figure 4.20 Response time comparison under Hachinohe earthquake .....	130
Figure 4.21 Response time comparison under Northridge earthquake.....	130
Figure 5.1 Schematic diagram and dimensioning drawing of the three-storey shear building model .....	135
Figure 5.2 Photos of three-storey shear building model and connections in the structure .....	136
Figure 5.3 Modal testing experimental setups of fixed base building and base isolated structure.....	138

Figure 5.4 Flowchart of experimental modal analysis / statistical modal analysis module in DIAMOND (Doebling, Farrar & Cornwell 1997).....	139
Figure 5.5 Experimental dynamic mode shapes (along softer direction): (a) first mode shape; (b) second mode shape; (c) third mode shape .....	141
Figure 5.6 Comparison between experimental and predicted top floor displacement in fixed base building.....	142
Figure 5.7 Comparison between experimental and predicted top floor displacement in base isolated building.....	146
Figure 5.8 Experimental setup schematics of comparative testing of proposed MRE base isolation system.....	146
Figure 5.9 Photo of experimental setup: (a) front view; (b) side view .....	148
Figure 5.10 Laser sensor and sensor adapter .....	148
Figure 5.11 Power supplies and data acquisition system with dSPACE .....	149
Figure 5.12 Block diagram of a general semi-active structural control problem .....	151
Figure 5.13 Semi-active control strategy of MRE base isolation system with GRNN inverse model.....	152
Figure 5.14 Schematic diagram of inverse model based on GRNN .....	153
Figure 5.15 Schematic diagram of the RBF based NFLC .....	156
Figure 5.16 Fuzzy rule base matrix at hidden layer.....	157
Figure 5.17 Schematic diagram of one chromosome with encoded NFLC parameters .....	160
Figure 5.18 Flow chart of NSGA-II with DCD .....	161
Figure 5.19 NSGA-II optimised membership function for top acceleration and base displacement .....	162
Figure 5.20 Schematics of the dynamic system.....	164
Figure 5.21 Stiffness ON-OFF control (Liao et al. 2012).....	169
Figure 5.22 Flow chart of the feed-forward frequency control system (Gu et al. 2016) .....	172
Figure 5.23 Time histories of evaluative indices and corresponding control command .....	174
Figure 5.24 Earthquake time histories and pseudo-acceleration spectra (damping ratio=5%) .....	177
Figure 5.25 Experimental and numerical relative displacement responses of Passive-on system (0.15 Hachinohe) .....	181
Figure 5.26 Experimental and numerical absolute acceleration responses of Passive-on system (0.15 Hachinohe) .....	183
Figure 5.27 Peak responses with four different earthquake magnitudes of El-Centro earthquake .....	187



Figure 5.28 Peak responses with four different earthquake magnitudes of Kobe earthquake .....	188
Figure 5.29 Peak responses under four earthquakes (scaling factor = 15%) .....	189
Figure 5.30 Peak inter-storey drift ratio under four earthquakes (inter-storey drift ratio = inter-storey drift/floor height (0.04m); earthquake scaling factor= 15%) .....	191
Figure 5.31 Peak floor acceleration under four earthquakes (earthquake scaling factor = 15%).....	194
Figure 5.32 Peak relative displacement under four earthquakes (earthquake scaling factor = 15%) .....	196
Figure 5.33 Peak floor shear/Seismic weight $W$ under four earthquakes ( $W = 912.57N$ (fixed base building)/1402.58N (base-isolated building) ; earthquake scaling factor = 15%).....	198
Figure 5.34 Evaluative indices $J_1 \sim J_6$ under four earthquakes (earthquake scaling factor = 15%).....	202
Figure 5.35 Evaluative indices $J_7 \sim J_9$ at worst case scenario (earthquake scaling factor = 15%).....	203
Figure 5.36 Time history of top floor acceleration with different control algorithms (0.15 El-Centro) .....	212
Figure 5.37 Time history of top floor acceleration with different control algorithms (0.15 Kobe) .....	213
Figure 5.38 Time history of top floor acceleration with different control algorithms (0.15 Hachinohe).....	214
Figure 5.39 Time history of top floor acceleration with different control algorithms (0.15 Northridge) .....	215
Figure 5.40 Time history of base displacement with different control algorithms (0.15 El-Centro) .....	216
Figure 5.41 Time history of base displacement with different control algorithms (0.15 Kobe).....	217
Figure 5.42 Time history of base displacement with different control algorithms (0.15 Hachinohe).....	218
Figure 5.43 Time history of base displacement with different control algorithms (0.15 Northridge).....	219
Figure 5.44 Time history of base acceleration with different control algorithms (0.15 El-Centro) .....	220
Figure 5.45 Time history of base acceleration with different control algorithms (0.15 Kobe).....	221
Figure 5.46 Time history of base acceleration with different control algorithms (0.15 Hachinohe).....	222

Figure 5.47 Time history of base acceleration with different control algorithms (0.15 Northridge).....	223
Figure 5.48 Time history of control force with different control algorithms (0.15 El Centro) .....	226
Figure 5.49 Time history of control force with different control algorithms (0.15 Kobe) .....	227
Figure 5.50 Time history of control force with different control algorithms (0.15 Hachinohe).....	228
Figure 5.51 Time history of control force with different control algorithms (0.15 Northridge).....	229
Figure 5.52 Control force and corresponding control current with NSGA-NFLC (Earthquake scaling factor = 15%) .....	230
Figure 5.53 Control force and corresponding control current with Lyapunov control (Earthquake scaling factor = 15%) .....	231
Figure 5.54 Control force and corresponding control current with frequency control (Earthquake scaling factor = 15%) .....	232
Figure 6.1 Sketches of: (a) fixed base building; (b) base-isolated building; (c) storey isolated building.....	240
Figure 6.2 Schematic diagrams of: (a) fixed base building model; (b) storey-isolated building model .....	242
Figure 6.3 Photo and typical floor plan of the 5-storey benchmark building model (Wu & Samali 2002).....	243
Figure 6.4 Illustration of Pareto frontier (Barraza et al. 2017) .....	246
Figure 6.5 Time history of top floor acceleration under El Centro earthquake .....	252
Figure 6.6 Time history of top floor acceleration under Kobe earthquake.....	253
Figure 6.7 Time history of top floor acceleration under Hachinohe earthquake .....	254
Figure 6.8 Time history of top floor acceleration under Northridge earthquake.....	255
Figure 6.9 Comparison of top floor acceleration between optimised SI and controlled SI.....	256
Figure 6.10 Peak floor acceleration response under four earthquakes .....	257
Figure 6.11 Peak inter-storey drift ratio response under four earthquakes (drift ratio = inter-storey drift/floor height; floor height = 600mm).....	258
Figure 6.12 Peak relative displacement response under four earthquakes .....	259
Figure 6.13 Control current of different storey under El Centro earthquake.....	261
Figure 6.14 Control current of different storey under Kobe earthquake .....	262
Figure 6.15 Control current of different storey under Hachinohe earthquake.....	263
Figure 6.16 Control current of different storey under Northridge earthquake .....	264

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Inference rule of the fuzzy logic control algorithm (Yang et al. 2016).....	54
Table 3.1 Identified parameter values for Bouc-Wen model under different excitation scenarios.....	65
Table 3.2 Identified parameter values for strain-stiffening model under different excitation scenarios.....	81
Table 3.3 Final identified parameter values of strain-stiffening model.....	88
Table 3.4 Comparison results between Bouc-Wen model and strain-stiffening model.....	91
Table 4.1 Original current and force response time (4mm displacement).....	112
Table 4.2 Final current and force response time (4mm displacement, field-quenching configuration).....	127
Table 5.1 Detailed designated parameters of each component.....	136
Table 5.2 Comparison of natural frequency and damping ratio between numerically predicted and modal analysis results.....	140
Table 5.3 Mode shape vectors from modal analysis results.....	140
Table 5.4 Parameter values of MRE base isolator's Bouc-Wen model.....	143
Table 5.5 Identified structural parameters of the base isolated building model.....	145
Table 5.6 Evaluative indices for NSGA II.....	162
Table 5.7 NSGA-II optimised weights for NFLC.....	163
Table 5.8 Benchmark earthquakes information.....	178
Table 5.9 Evaluative indices description.....	180
Table 5.10 Comparative peak responses of experimental and numerical results (0.15 El Centro).....	184
Table 5.11 Comparative peak responses of experimental and numerical results (0.15 Kobe).....	184
Table 5.12 Comparative peak responses of experimental and numerical results (0.15 Hachinohe).....	185
Table 5.13 Comparative peak responses of experimental and numerical results (0.15 Northridge).....	185
Table 5.14 Reduction of peak floor responses of different isolation scenarios.....	199
Table 5.15 Evaluative indices value.....	204
Table 5.16 Comparison of five controllers.....	233
Table 6.1 Parameter values of MRE isolator model.....	241

Table 6.2 Structural parameters of the 5-storey model.....	243
Table 6.3 Optimisation current solutions and corresponding objective values .....	249
Table 6.4 Evaluative indices description .....	265
Table 6.5 Values of evaluative indices $J_1 \sim J_6$ under four earthquakes with different isolation scenarios .....	266
Table 6.6 Values of evaluative indices $J_1 \sim J_6$ under four earthquakes with different isolation scenarios (Cont'd) .....	267

## ABSTRACT

Most of current researches on controllable or “smart” base isolation systems have been based on the hybrid of conventional base isolation system with active or semi-active dampers. Although supplementary dampers may help to reduce maximum displacement of base isolation systems and provide adjustable damping to suppress vibrations of the protected structure, it suffers some setbacks such as introduction of undesirable acceleration, limited performance due to the passive nature of base isolation, etc. Moreover, those “smart” supplementary dampers failed to add “smartness” or controllability toward working mechanism of isolation systems, i.e. decoupling ground motion from superstructures. In recent years, the development of adaptive base isolator utilising magnetorheological elastomer (MRE) shed light on “truly” smart base isolation systems in which isolators’ lateral stiffness can be controlled in real time by varying applied current. To this end, the MRE base isolation system exhibits a promising potential for ultimate seismic protection of civil infrastructures due to its ability to maximise, in real time, level of decoupling between ground motion and the superstructure. However, there have been only limited researches reported in this area. In addition, there is lack of throughout investigations, especially experimental investigation, on critical issues and feasibility related design and implementation of such MRE-based smart base isolated system, which is much needed for future development and application.

This thesis is aimed at filling aforementioned research gap in development and application of MRE-based smart base isolated system by contributing new knowledge in the fields in terms of: i) modelling of the MRE isolator to capture its forward and inverse dynamic characteristics; ii) comprehensive investigation on the response time of MRE isolator and exploration of approaches to minimise the lag; iii) overcome

obstacles in experimental realisation of smart base isolation system; iv) other innovations in seismic protection of civil infrastructures employing MRE isolator.

First, the modelling of the MRE isolator is conducted for dynamic response prediction of the device. Two forward models of the isolator are proposed and compared, namely, a phenomenological model based on hysteresis Bouc-Wen model and innovative strain-stiffening model identified by least square (LS) function. Performance evaluation of the model has been conducted based on the experimental testing data from MRE isolator. Furthermore, due to the inherent nonlinearity and hysteretic characteristics of the devices, it is challenging to obtain a less complicated mathematical model to describe the inverse dynamics of MRE base isolators and hence to perform control synthesis of the MRE base isolation system. Therefore, an optimal general regression neural network (GRNN) inverse model has been proposed to depict the inverse dynamics of the isolator. With the inverse model, the nonlinearity and phenomenological hysteresis brought into control system by the MRE isolator can be neutralised for the classic control algorithm like LQR to be feasible.

Real-time control of the MRE isolators holds the key to unlock MRE materials' unique characteristics, i.e. instantly changeable shear modulus in continuous and reverse fashion. However, one of the critical issues for the applications of real-time control is the response time delay of MRE vibration isolators, which has not yet been fully addressed and studied. Therefore, the next topic of this thesis is to identify the inherent response time of the MRE isolator and explore feasible approaches to minimise the response time delay. The definition and experimental measurement of the response time of MRE isolator is presented, followed by three response time reduction approaches, i.e. PWM servo current drive, modification of isolator's solenoid and innovative configuration of solenoid excitation.

A three-storey shear building model is then designed as the testing bed of proposed MRE base isolation system. Various control algorithms are proposed, developed and formulated to explore the capability of the smart isolation system, including non-

dominated sorting genetic algorithm optimised neural fuzzy logic control (NSGA-NFLC), Bang-Bang control, LQR control with GRNN inverse model, frequency control and Lyapunov-based current selection control. Comprehensive investigation of seismic protection performance of the MRE isolation system has been conducted numerically and experimentally. Promising vibration suppression performance has been observed in most controlled isolation scenarios, particularly in NSGA-NFLC and Lyapunov controller.

Finally, an innovative storey isolation system utilising MRE isolator has been proposed and numerically evaluated. The advantage of storey isolation system lies in that it can distribute the deformation of base isolation system into different levels, leading to effective solution of extensive base displacement issue faced by conventional base isolation system. The NSGA-II is utilised to seek for the optimal placement of isolators and current input of each device. The Lyapunov-based current selection control is employed for the control of the storey isolation system. A comprehensive numerical testing compares the seismic responses of bare building, building with passive controlled MRE base isolation system, building with optimal MRE storey isolation system and controlled storey isolation system. Simulation results indicate that the controlled storey isolation system is capable of significantly mitigating the floor acceleration and base displacement as well as avoiding whipping effect problem in passive storey isolation system.